

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,766	12/28/2000	Brian B. Egan	7000-526	8660
	7590 02/28/200 TERRANOVA, P.L.L.		EXAMINER	
100 REGENCY FOREST DRIVE			HAN, CLEMENCE S	
SUITE 160 CARY, NC 27518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			<u> </u>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS .	02/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			2.f		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/750,766	EGAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Clemence Han	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M ate, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23. 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10-18 and 20-23</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-9,19 and 24</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and an are subject.	awn from consideration.	-			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			-D 4 404(d)		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	Application No en received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)	∧ □				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	f Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)		

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation "a packet loss measurement tool" in the last line should be "the packet loss measurement tool". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claim 1-6, 10-18 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kroll (US 6,700,895) in view of Welin (US 6,975,629).

Regarding to claim 1, 14 and 20, Kroll teaches a method of voice optimization in a packet switched network, comprising: initializing default parameters for end-point devices on a network with respect to jitter buffer size 210; measuring performance parameters of the network 212-280; and evaluating whether the measured performance parameters signify that a connection to the network is below a desired level of operation 194 and, if so, adjusting the default parameters for the end-point devices based on the evaluating 196. Kroll, however, does not teach initializing default parameters with respect to choice of preferred CODEC and number of voice samples per packet. Welin teaches initializing

Application/Control Number: 09/750,766

Art Unit: 2616

default parameters with respect to choice of preferred CODEC and number of voice samples per packet (Column 18 Line 4-14). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify to initialize default parameters with respect to choice of preferred CODEC and number of voice samples per packet as taught by Welin in order to optimize the system in real time (Column 18 Line 20-25).

Regarding to claim 2, 18 and 23, Kroll teaches the adjusting includes performing functions that are selected from a group consisting of re-negotiating a CODEC connection, re-setting of parameters for the packet size and re-setting the jitter buffer 196.

Regarding to claim 3, 5, 11, 15 and 21, Kroll teaches the performance parameters being measured are selected from a group consisting of throughput, latency, packet loss, bandwidth, number of network hops to the end-point devices, round trip delay and any combination thereof 280.

Regarding to claim 4, 6, 16, 17 and 22, Kroll teaches the measuring is performed with at least one tool selected from a group consisting of a ping tool, a network trace tool and a packet loss measurement tool (Column 2 Line 36-39).

Regarding to claim 10, Kroll teaches measuring and evaluating existing performance parameters with respect to quality of connection 212-280, the initializing being based on the evaluating 196.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,766

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding to claim 12, Kroll teaches evaluating the measured performance parameters with respect to quality of connection 212-280 and performing the adjusting as a result of the evaluating 196.

Regarding to claim 13, Kroll teaches the adjusting is carried out during transmission of media to the end-point devices (Column 6 Line 55-64).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 7-9, 19 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed on May 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references (page 7-8), the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,766 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

In this case, Welin recognizes the need for solution to packet lost and delayed (Column 1 Line 42-54) and teaches selecting coder suitably at run-time (Column 18 Line 7-8). Even though Kroll teaches adjusting the size of a jitter buffer, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust both the size of a jitter buffer and the suitable coder would optimize the system even further in changing real-time condition (Column 18 Line 24).

7. In response to page 9, the applicant argues that Welin does not teach the choice of number of voice samples per packet. Welin teaches selection of coder, therefore selection of the frame size of the coder (Column 18 Line 7-14). The frame size is directly linked to the number of voice samples per packet (see the instant Specification page 7 line 7-12). Therefore, Welin teaches the choice of number of voice samples per packet. The applicant, further, argues that even though Welin teaches the selection of coders at run time, Welin does not teach initializing default preferred codec. Welin teaches adapting the selection of coder at runtime and the step of selecting the initial coder would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2616

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to the invention in general.
 - U.S. Patent 6,356,545 to Vargo et al.
 - U.S. Patent 6,980,569 to Beyda et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clemence Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-3158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/750,766 Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C.H.

Clemence Han Examiner Art Unit 2616

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600